



Digital Communications Theory

Phil Horkin/AF7GY Satellite Communications Consultant AF7GY@arrl.net



Overview

- Sending voice or data over a constrained channel is a balancing act trading many communication parameters
 - Limited transmit power, antenna size, frequency, bandwidth, number of simultaneous users, background noise, visibility, etc.
- Some of these have limits set for us by the FCC and ITU
- Propagation has been an interesting variable we have all faced on the HF bands across the 11-year sun cycle
- Satellite communications helps resolve some of these issues but presents additional challenges
- LEO AMSATs date back to OSCAR 1 (12/61) but the challenge has been sustained access over wide geographic areas
- AMSAT Phase 4 will bring sustained access to nearly half the globe
- This talk will discuss the evolution to digital modulation schemes using the AMSAT Phase 4 Geosynchronous Satellite Application



AMSAT LEO vs. GEO

- Low Earth Orbit AMSATs have provided early satellite access to hams to extended areas using line of sight
- These typically operated on 2 meters or 70 cm
- Satellite inclination generally provided near global access however dwell times have been short with long revisit intervals
- The Phase 4 initiative will overcome both of these issues
- Figure illustrates sustained visibility and continuous dwell opportunity





Early Modulation Systems

- Many of us are familiar with the start of radio using spark-gap transmitters and CW using Morse Code
 - Today excellent communications using on-off keying (ASK) operates in channel bandwidths as low as 500 Hz
- Voice communications was first possible using amplitude modulation and later frequency modulation
 - AM voice bandwidths require 6 kHz and FM bandwidths of 10-15 kHz
- SSB achieves good voice at bandwidths of 2.8 kHz
- These analog modulation schemes all require high SNR for near perfect communications (think of the difference between a QSO and AM radio)
- Digital modulation offers near error-free communications at lower SNR
- Today digital modes such as PSK-31, JT65, and RTTY offer low power links that can connect over surprisingly great distance
- Packing more information into a given channel bandwidth has been the holy grail pushing the limits of modulation technology



Digital Modulation Part 1

- Digital modes sample voice or data into multi-bit digital words
- Resolution in bits is related to dynamic range while sample rate is related to highest information bandwidth to be sampled
- Harry Nyquist taught us that we must sample at greater than twice the highest input frequency
 - Voice at 2.8 kHz must be sampled at greater than 5.6 ksamples/sec
- The simplest transmission scheme (BPSK) is similar to CW except rather than on-off, the carrier phase is rotated 180 degrees between a "0" and a "1" to be transmitted



Digital Modulation Part 2

- Increasing the data throughput with BPSK means that the bandwidth must rise with voice bandwidth or data rate
- Higher ordered schemes can pack more data into narrow channels by encoding multiple bits into symbols and transmitting symbols rather than bits, and transmitting their I-Q values for the symbols



The figure to the left shows I and Q components of a signal

This figure shows how a 2-bit word is mapped to 4 possible symbol values to be transmitted based on their I and Q components



Higher Ordered Modes Increases Bits/Hz Transmitted

- Since a symbol conveys multiple bits, higher density symbols offers greater data rate in the same bandwidth (spectrum)
- Common schemes range from BPSK to 1024 QAM



- Is this a free lunch, e.g. infinite data in a narrow channel without bound?
- Increasing the modulation density requires increasing energy per bit (power) and becomes more susceptible to noise in the demodulation process



Errors and Energy per Bit and Noise Effects

- Plots shows uncoded performance for increasing modulation density; note the y-axis log scale; extra 2 dB reduces errors ~10X
- Detection requires sensing I and Q values and making a decision
- How does noise impact this







Demodulation Issues

- As the modulation density increases, the space between symbol decision points gets closer together, increasing errors
- Claude Shannon described the limit on channel capacity (bits/Hz per Eb/No)
- The DVB-S2 modem standard is becoming common and will be used for AMSAT Phase 4
- Performance is less than 2 dB away from the Shannon limit on channel capacity
- Not all users must use the same modulation format or FEC, e.g. QPSK, 64-QAM, rate 11/20, called MODCODs





Communications Challenges

- Satellite provides wideband channel on both uplink and downlink
 - Equivalent throughput greatly exceeds capabilities of most users
- Therefore channel must be shared by all simultaneous users
- Goal is to minimize communications burden on users
 - Satellite transponder is expensive
 - System must be architected to simplify user equipment
- Some users may have greater capability than others
 - Larger antennas, higher power
 - Users with lesser capabilities are referred to as disadvantaged users
- User data throughput is small compared to transponder aggregate
- Techniques must enable both low and high rate users to be served
- A combination of techniques has been used by other systems to address this dilemma



Channel Access Approach

 FDMA uplink – each ground station transmits on a different channel.



- TDMA downlink traffic destined for each ground station on a different time slot.
- All ground stations can hear all downlink timeslots (broadcast).





Network Formats

- User data must be fragmented into packets called Protocol Data Units (PDUs) before transmission on the uplink
- These PDUs are sent from source (user 1) to the satellite where it is turned around in a regenerative link to the destination (user 2)
- Both uplink and downlink messages must contain information to identify source and destination (users) for transmitted packets
- Satellite is not a bent pipe, that is the uplink data stream is not mirrored to the ground as with terrestrial VHF/UHF repeaters
- The FDMA uplink must be recrafted into the TDMA downlink
- This means that while uplink signals are narrowband compared to the transponder the downlink sends much higher data rate signals to all users
- Special attention in the downlink supports disadvantaged users



GSE Packets

- Generic Stream Encapsulation (GSE) is used to support bidirectional communications while enabling disadvantaged users to participate equally on the links
- Each GSE packet has unique header attached to PDU
 - GSE data fields may be transmitted at different modulation format and coding but headers all transmitted at the lowest common rate





User Link Rates

- Each user uplinks in a subchannel of the shared transponder BW
 - Frequency division multiple access permits many simultaneous users to transmit in the shared bandwidth
 - Collisions are possible and network protocols such as Slotted Aloha can be used to mitigate through features such as random back-off
 - Rates depend on many factors but using an uplink symbol rate of 640 kilo-Symbols per second, a subset of possible user rates are shown in the table
 - Downlink rates to 2.4 meter dish using 8PSK FEC rate 13/18 achieves 18 Mbps
 - If shared amongst 12 users, this amounts to 1.5 Mbps each less some small overhead for frame headers and matches uplink rates

Canonical MODCOD Name	Spectral Effciency (bits/sym)	Effective User Throughput, kbps
QPSK 2/9	0.43	279
QPSK 13/45	0.57	364
QPSK 9/20	0.89	570
QPSK 11/20	1.09	698
8APSK 5/9-L	1.65	1056
8APSK 26/45-L	1.71	1098
8PSK 23/36	1.90	1215
16APSK 1/2-L	1.97	1264
8PSK 25/36	2.06	1322
16APSK 8/15-L	2.10	1349
8PSK 13/18	2.15	1375



Hybrid FDMA Uplink and TDMA Downlink Example

- Uplink users may have different MODCODs but have common header mode
- Downlink may be the same per user or can adaptively be varied





Sample Downlink Budget

- The data is for simplified for this presentation using a 2.4 meter dish
- Each user gets nearly 1.5 Mbps on both the uplink and downlink

1.045E+10
0.03
8.333E+06
38000
8-PSK 🔫
3
0.7222: -
18.05
20.00
46.00
66.00
50
45.80
1.000E+07
0.70
45.80

Margin, dB

Noise Computations

Boltzmann's Constant (k), J/K	1.38E-23
Line Loss Ratio	1.00
Ant temp	50.00
line temp ref to ant	0.00
Receiver Temp ref to ant	50.72
sys temp	100.72
Thermal Noise Power in Receiver, dBm	-108.57
Receiver G/T	25.77

Link

EIRP, dBm	66.00
Space loss, dB	-204.42
Net Receiver gain at antenna port, dB	45.80
Power Received, dBm	-92.62
Interference Received, dBm	-192.62
Noise plus Interference Power, dBm	-108.57
Propagation Losses, dB	0.00
Carrier to Interference + Noise (CINR), dB	15.95
Implementaton Loss, dB	0
Eb/No, dB	13.39
Required Eb/No, dB	4.18

9.21

Summary

- Digital modulation formats are being adopted quickly in the amateur community for low data rate but long range communications
- As amateur satellites take their place in the GEO arc, we will benefit from reliable long range communications that do not depend upon ionospheric skip conditions and the solar cycle
- An understanding of digital communications will enable the ham to further experiment with these modes and develop new applications
- I expect hybrid networks to form using both terrestrial and satellite-based communications to extend our reach with APRS and other data-based services



Questions

